28

1	James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027		
2	JJP@pisanellibice.com Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695		
3	DLS@pisanellibice.com M. Magali Mercera, Esq., Bar No. 11742		
4	MMM@pisanellibice.com PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.214.2100		
6	Attorneys for Paris Las Vegas		
7	Operating Company, LLC		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA	
10	TPOV ENTERPRISES 16, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-00346-JCM-VCF	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
12	VS.	REGARDING HEARING ON MOTION TO STAY AND TO MODIFY MOTION FOR	
13	PARIS LAS VEGAS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability	SUMMARY JUDGMENT SCHEDULE	
14	company,	(FOURTH REQUEST)	
15	Defendant.		
16 17	PARIS LAS VEGAS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,		
18	Counterclaimant.		
19	TDOV ENTER DRIGES, LLC D. L		
20	TPOV ENTERPRISES, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, TPOV		
21	ENTERPRISES 16, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, Rowen Siebel, an individual.		
22	Counter-defendants.		
23	Counter-detendants.		
24			
25	Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff/Counterd	efendant TPOV Enterprises 16, LLC ("TPOV 16")	
26	Counterdefendant TPOV Enterprises, LLC ("TP	OV"); Counterdefendant Rowen Seibel ("Seibel")	
27	(collectively, "Seibel and the TPOV Entities"); and Defendant/Counterclaimant Paris Las Vegas		

Operating Company, LLC ("Paris") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On March 12, 2021, Plaintiff/Counterdefendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on Paris' Counterclaims. (ECF Nos. 269, 272.)
- 2. On March 12, 2021, Paris filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on all of TPOV 16's claims and Paris' counterclaims. (ECF Nos. 270-271.)
- 3. Good cause exists to extend the briefing schedule on the motions for summary judgment. In the case *Seibel v. PHWLV, LLC*, Case No. A-17-751759-B (the "State Court Matter"), currently pending before the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, County of Clark ("State Court"), the State Court granted Paris and its affiliates' Motion to Compel Documents Withheld on the Basis of the Attorney-Client Privilege Pursuant to the Crime-Fraud Exception. (ECF No. 303-1.)
- 4. Pursuant to an agreement between the Parties, discovery propounded in the State Court Matter can be used in this matter and discovery propounded in this matter can be used in the State Court Matter. Seibel and the TPOV Entities have indicated they intend to seek review (through a writ petition to the Nevada Supreme Court) of the State Court's ruling and a stay of enforcement of the order, pending the outcome of their writ.
- 5. Without waiver of any arguments or rights, the Parties discussed whether the remaining briefing of the summary judgment motions in this matter should be stayed until either Seibel and the TPOV Entities produce the documents ordered compelled by the State Court or until resolution of their anticipated writ.
- 6. While the Parties did not reach an agreement as to any potential stay, they agreed to present the issue to this Court for resolution and continue the deadlines to file responses to motions for summary judgment and replies thereto to allow this Court time to consider and rule upon a motion to stay to be filed by Paris. (ECF No. 298.)
- 7. On June 11, 2021, Paris filed its Motion to Stay Pending Production of Documents Pursuant to Crime-Fraud Exception (the "Motion to Stay"). (ECF No. 305.)

Ш

- 8. On June 25, 2021, Seibel and the TPOV Entities filed their Response to the Motion to Stay. (ECF No. 307.)
- 9. On July 2, 2021, Paris filed its Reply in Support of the Motion to Stay. (ECF No. 311.)
- 10. The Parties have agreed and respectfully request that, if the Court intends to entertain oral argument on the Motion to Stay, that the Court set a hearing on or before August 23, 2021, or as soon thereafter as this Court's schedule permits.
- 11. Additionally, the Parties have agreed to extend the deadline to respond to motions for summary judgment. This is the fourth request to modify the deadline to respond to motions for summary judgment.
- 12. On April 8, 2021, the Parties stipulated to extend the deadline to respond to the respective motions for summary judgment to April 30, 2021, and to extend the deadline to file reply briefs in support of their respective motions for summary judgment to May 21, 2021. (ECF No. 291.)
- 13. On April 9, 2021, this Court entered an Order granting the Parties' Stipulation. (ECF No. 292.)
- 14. On April 29, 2021, the Parties stipulated to extend the deadline to respond to the respective motions for summary judgment to May 24, 2021, and to extend the deadline to file reply briefs in support of their respective motions for summary judgment to June 14, 2021. (ECF No. 293.)
- 15. On April 30, 2021, this Court entered an Order granting the Parties' Stipulation. (ECF No. 294.)
- 16. On May 25, 2021, the Parties stipulated to extend the deadline to respond to the respective motions for summary judgment to July 28, 2021, and to extend the deadline to file reply briefs in support of their respective motions for summary judgment to August 18, 2021. (ECF No. 297.)
- 17. On May 28, 2021, this Court entered an Order granting the Parties' Stipulation. (ECF No. 298.)

1	18. Unless this Court orders otherwise (<i>e.g.</i> , by granting the Motion to Stay), the Partie		
2	have agreed that the deadline to file responses to motions for summary judgment shall be extended		
3	from July 28, 2021, to September 10, 2021, and the deadline for filing reply briefs in support of the		
4	motions for summary judgment shall be extended from September 24, 2021, to October 1, 2021.		
5	19. This Stipulation and Order is made in good faith, with good cause, and not for		
6	purposes of unduly delaying trial.		
7	DATED this 27th day of July 2021.	DATED this 27th day of July 2021.	
8	PISANELLI BICE PLLC	BAILEY KENNEDY	
9	By: /s/ M. Magali Mercera James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027	By: /s/ Paul C. Williams John R. Bailey, Esq., Bar No. 0137	
10	Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695	Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq., Bar No. 1462 Joshua P. Gilmore, Esq., Bar No. 11576	
11	M. Magali Mercera, Esq., Bar No. 11742 400 South 7 th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Paul C. Williams, Esq., Bar No. 12524 Stephanie J. Glantz, Esq., Bar No. 14878	
12	Attorneys for Paris Las Vegas Operating	8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302	
13	Company, LLC	Attorneys for TPOV Enterprises 16, LLC,	
14		TPOV Enterprises, LLC and Rowen Seibel	
15 16			
17		DNED	
18	ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE DATED: July 28, 2021		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
20 27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			
40			